

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Alexandre Gaudin,

Plaintiff,

v.

Frankie Shop, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No:

**COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Alexandre Gaudin (“*Plaintiff*”), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against defendant Frankie Shop, LLC (“*Defendant*”) states and alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C §101 *et seq.*

2. Plaintiff created a Video of Kristen Grace Lam (the “*Video*”) in which Plaintiff owns the rights and licenses for various uses including online publications.

3. Defendant is a high-end apparel company which owns and operates a social media account on Instagram known as “@thefrankieshop” (the “*Account*”).

4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, actively copied and displayed the Video on its Account and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

**PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff Alexandre Gaudin is an individual who is a citizen of the France.

6. Defendant Frankie Shop is a New York limited liability company with a principal place of business located at 100 Stanton Street, New York, New York, 10002.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it maintains its principal place of business in New York.

9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(a)(2) because Defendant does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

### **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

#### **A. Plaintiff's Copyright Ownership**

10. Plaintiff is a professional filmmaker by trade who is the legal and rightful owner of certain videos which Plaintiff commercially licenses.

11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's video portfolio.

12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office (the "USCO") which cover many of Plaintiff's videos while many others are the subject of pending copyright applications.

13. Plaintiff's videos are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns protectable copyright interests.

14. On February 12, 2022, Plaintiff authored a video Kristen Grace Lam walking through a public thoroughfare in New York City. A copy of a still frame from the Video is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

15. In creating the Video, Plaintiff personally selected the subject matter, timing, lighting, angle, perspective, depth, lens and camera equipment used to create the recording.

16. On March 11, 2022, the Video was registered by USCO under Registration No. PA PA 2-348-069.

17. Plaintiff created the Video with the intention of it being used commercially and for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

**B. Defendant's Infringing Activity**

18. Defendant is the registered owner of the Account and is responsible for its content.

19. Defendant is the operator of the Account and is responsible for its content.

20. The Account is a part of and used to advance Defendant's commercial enterprise.

21. The Account has over 1 million followers who receive content directly from Defendant as it is posted to the Account.

22. Defendant displayed the Video on the Account via Instagram's on-line story function at URL <https://www.instagram.com/thefrankieshop/tagged/?hl=en>. A copy of a screengrab of the Account including a still frame from the Video for reference is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

23. Upon information and belief, the Video was stored on the Account as a result of Defendant's expropriation of Plaintiff's work.

24. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally copied and/or displayed Plaintiff's copyright protected Video on the Account.

25. Plaintiff first observed and actually discovered the Infringement on February 13, 2022.

26. Upon information and belief, the Video was displayed by Defendant without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights in and to the Video (hereinafter all of the unauthorized uses set forth above are referred to as the "*Infringement*").

27. The Infringement includes a URL ("Uniform Resource Locator") for a fixed tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be communicated for a period of more than a transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific infringement. *17 U.S.C. §106(5)*.

28. The Infringement is an exact copy of the critical portions of Plaintiff's Video that was directly displayed by Defendant on the Account.

29. Upon information and belief, Defendant's selection of the Video was a result of the Video displaying a well-known social media influencer wearing Defendant's high-end apparel.

30. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive role in the content posted on its Account, including, but not limited to copying, posting, selecting, commenting on and/or displaying copyrighted works including but not limited to Plaintiff's Video.

31. Upon information and belief, the Video was willfully and volitionally posted to the Account by Defendant.

32. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringement knowingly and in violation of applicable United States copyright laws.

33. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control and limit the infringing activities on its Account and exercised and/or had the right and ability to exercise such right.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its Account.

35. Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly attributable to the Infringement.

36. Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to the Account and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its brand recognition and/or merchandise sales.

37. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful copy of the Video on the Account.

38. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.

39. Defendant's use of the Video, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential market for the Video.

40. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially harmed.

**FIRST COUNT**

***(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)***

41. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.

42. The Video is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns a valid copyright.

43. The Video is properly registered with the USCO and Plaintiff has complied with all statutory formalities under the Copyright Act and under regulations published by the USCO.

44. Plaintiff has not granted Defendant a license or the right to use the Video in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyright to Defendant.

45. Plaintiff published the Video by commercially licensing it to third-party media company for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

46. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally distributed and publicly displayed a work copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.

47. Defendant's reproduction of the Video and display of the Video constitutes willful copyright infringement.

48. Upon information and belief, Defendant willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Video in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that Defendant used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, Plaintiff's original and unique Video without Plaintiff's consent or authority, by using it on the Account.

49. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

50. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

51. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

**JURY DEMAND**

52. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE** Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's rights to the Video in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 *et seq.* and therefore award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- a. finding that Defendant infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright interest in and to the Video by copying and displaying it without a license or consent;
- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- c. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- d. for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- e. for pre-judgment interest as permitted by law; and
- f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: February 23, 2023

**SANDERS LAW GROUP**

By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders  
Craig B. Sanders, Esq.  
333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402  
Uniondale, NY 11553  
Tel: (516) 203-7600  
Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group  
File No.: 126501

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

